Previous references.

66

File No. ~~56~~ -10

1910.

(Sep. Rev.)

Serial Nos. 1-2

Later references.

(11)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-2

Part B. for February 1911

SUBJECT.

Disallowing the claim of the Inamdar to the Toddy revenue of Chittanahalli village in Krishnarajpet Tq.

---

1. From the Excise Com^r No. 3158 d. 30-9-10.
Submits the record of the case and states that the right is not established and that the Shop in the village is to be treated as a Govt Shop &c.

2. To the Excise Com^r & Comptroller & Inam Supdt.
No. H. 4186-8 d. 10-2-11
Holds that the Inamdar is not entitled to the Toddy revenue of the village.

G.R.S. 11] 1910

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(S/L)

NOTES.

File No. 66-10

FILE HEADING.

Claim to the Govt reversion of S. No. village of Chittamalli, Tiruchirapalli Taluk

From Sub-Collr Tiruchirapalli

No. 3158 Dated 30/9/10 Received 6/10/10

Diary No. 1185 Enclos. 3 & 1 Spare Copies Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Submits the record & states that the claim as urged is not established & that the S.No. in the village is to be treated as a Govt. S.No. &c

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The village has a S.No. It is not known whether it has a grove or trees. The village seems to have changed hands. The present owners are Mr.

NOTES—First sheet

GPB—30,000—3-4-07.

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 66 of 19 10

Serial No.

Spreull's agent's claim was disallowed
as the Notice served on him on 27/8/09 was
he has no accounts with him
relating to the loss previous
to the village & that the Deputy
Commissioner disallowed the claim as he
thought proper. The claim
was accordingly disallowed
and the fact was communicated
to him by the Notice served on
him on 10/6/10 & he has acquiesced
in the decision. The register is
therefore not established.
Sd/ with a draft
Dtge 5/6
14/12/10
14/12/10

may be approved
26/11/11
approved
3-2-11
7/11

NOTE— Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10.

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

SR File No. 66 of 1910

B

Serial No.	
2	To the Excise Com[missioner] ✓ re Complaint of re Dharmadapu no. Pl 4186-8 dt 10-2-11 Holds that the Dharmadar is not entitled to the toddy revenue of the village

NOTE— Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

D 11482 (1)

No 3158
2 32 16

OFFICE OF THE EXCISE COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, dated 30th September 1910.

SR 66-108 (1)

From

H. H. Sparkes, Esquire,

Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

To

C. S. Balasundram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,

Offg: *Secretary to the Government of*
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

SIR,

I have the honor to forward herewith for the orders of Government the papers connected with the exparte decision passed by the investigating officer in the case of the Inamdar's claim to the Excise Revenue from toddy of the Sarvamanya village of Chittanahalli in the Krishnarajpet taluk, received with Memo No. 147, dated the 9th Instant, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

2. Under the circumstances represented I fully concur with the opinions of the investigating officer and the Deputy Commissioner in rejecting the claim of the Inamdar who was given ample opportuni-ties of pressing his claim. The decision passed in the case is in accordance with the instructions conveyed by Government in Proceed-ings No. Fl. 5636/S.R.48-06-2, dated 24th January 1907.

3. The village has a toddy shop which has been sold as an Inam shop since 1892-93 and a portion of the Excise revenue amounting

to

2

4483

1910.

From

H H Sparkes Esq

Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

To

C.S. Balasundram Iyer Esq BA.

Secretary to the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore, Genl. and Rec. Depts.

Dated 30th September 1910

Despatched

Received

General No.

Enclosure No. 3

SUBJECT.

Forwarding for the orders of Govt the papers connected with the exparte decision passed by the investigating Officer in the case of Inamdar's claim to the Excise Revenue levied by the Sarvamanya village of Chittanahalli in the Krishna Raja pet Taluk & Concurring with the opinions of the Deputy Commr in rejecting the claim

to Rs.63-9-11 derived therefrom has also been paid to a former Inam-dar of the village. Now that nobody has come forward to prove his claim orders are solicited to treat the shop as a Government one and the matter of the amount already advanced will be enquired into and reported after Government orders are passed.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Ramanna

for Excise Commissioner.

Calendar of a Claim to the Concan revenue from toddy etc.

Krishnarajapuram	Name of Taluk
Chinakurali	Name of Hobli
Chittanahalli (Sarvamanya)	Name of Inam Village
Mr. M. D. Srinivasiengar	Name of Inamdar
1 Vernacular Correspondence ending with the Mysore Canara Range Inspector's Bills No. 83 dated the 16th July, 1909 connected with the notice dated 21.6.09 issued for service on Mr. M. D. Narain Iyengar, Advocate, Mysore said to be one of the Inamdars of the village. 2 Notice dated 16.8.09 served on Mr. M. D. Srinivasiengar, Inamdar on the 27th August 1909. 3 Notification dated the 12th February 1910 published in the three consecutive issues of the Mysore Gazette, Part I beginning with the issue of the 26th February 1910.	Documents produced on the Part of the Claimant
Nil	Witnesses examined
An exparte decision rejecting the claim of the Inamdar to the toddy revenue of the Village is passed in accordance with G.O. No. $\frac{H\,5636}{S.R.\,08-06-2}$ & Rev. I. 120	Finding
As per Acquittance Register of advances maintained in the District Canara Office a sum of Rs. 63.9.11 has been paid on account of the toddy revenue of the Village.	Amount advanced if any on account of the toddy revenue of the Village

pined with by the District Excise Officer, Mysore District

Grounds of decision

The Village contains a toddy shop which has been sold as an Arrack Shop since the Year 1892-93 and a portion of the Excise revenue derived from the source has also been paid provisionally in the early years to a former Shanbhar of the Village. With a view to the institution of a formal enquiry into the claim of the Arrack to the said revenue, a notice was issued on Mr. M. D. Narasimhayengar, an advocate of Mysore, who was said to have an interest in the affairs of the Village, calling upon him to appear before the District Excise Officer with proofs of the Shanbhar's right and title to the Village in general and to its toddy Excise revenue in particular. But the notice was not served upon him as he declined to take receipt of the same on the ground that he had sold his interest in the Village to one Mr. M. D. Srinivasiengar at Hunsur and that he had no 'Dakhala' with him.

A fresh notice was thereupon issued on the said Mr. M. D. Srinivasiengar requiring the latter to present himself before the investigating Officer on the 2nd September 1909 with the necessary documents. This notice was served

served on the parties on the 27th August 1909, who, however, saved himself the trouble of further formalities by recording a "Shara" notice to say that no accounts relating to the todd revenue of the village were to be found with him and that the investigating officer might dispose of the case as he deemed fit. The Dramdar of course never turned up on the appointed date of hearing."

A Gazette notification announcing the 25th April 1910 as the date fixed for the hearing of the claim and inviting all the interested parties to appear before the investigating officer with proofs of their right and title to the revenue, was also published as enjoined by the standing orders. But even this failed to evince any interest in the parties concerned.

In these circumstances an ex parte decision rejecting the Dramdar's claim to the todd revenue of the village has been recorded in the case,

H. Seethoram
District Excise Officer
No 88 / Manpore Dist. Co.

Full reasons are given above for passing the ex-parte decree rejecting the Dramdar's claim in this case, with which I am in respectful agreement

22/4/10 Illegible

1½

5

G.R.S. 28.]

File No. 66/10

Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to 1688

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

, the 19

Date of issue 10-2-11

Signed by W Hiriyanna

READ— Letter no 3158 dy 30/9/10 from the Excise Comr in Mysore submitting the record of enquiry relating to the claim to the toddy reserved of Sarvamangala Chittana-halli village, Nanjanagud, pet Taluk

ORDER THEREON.—No.

Order thereon no

No 41186-8 dy 10-2-1911

In view of the opportunity afforded to the Accountan

over

SUBJECT.

GPB—5,000—7-5-09

~~he has not chosen to~~
establish his rights to
the land & has acquiesced in but he has not chosen to avail himself of it
the investigating officers
& panel decision passed
against him. Govt
therefore hold that the
Naudan is not entitled
to the exemption
from land. The Head
of the village will be treated
as a land Holder & removed
from the list of Naudavgo.
The Asst Comm's ~~requested~~
to report regarding the
recovery of the amount
Rs 4.11 advanced to the
Naudan for the year
1972-73 is awaited.

Note to be placed
the Calendar
be released
in the file
Dy

11/1/74
3/2/74
Dy

for Secy.

The Asst Comm in Mysore
with the records
The Commissioner
The Naudavgo Holder

Copy to
4/12/74

Com. to
8.12.74

14/12/74

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Financial (Separate Revenue), dated 10th February 1911.

READ—

Letter No. 3158, dated 30th September 1910, from the Excise Commissioner in Mysore, submitting the record of enquiry relating to the claim to the toddy revenue of Sarvamanya Chattanahalli village, Krishnarajpete Taluk.

No. FL. 4186-8—S. R. 66-10-2, DATED BANGALORE, 10TH FEBRUARY 1911.

ORDER THEREON.—Every opportunity was afforded to the Inamdar to establish his right to the toddy excise revenue, but he has not chosen to avail himself of it and he has acquiesced in the Investigating Officer's *ex-parte* decision passed against him. Government therefore hold that the Inamdar is not entitled to the excise revenue from toddy. The toddy shop of the village will be treated as a Government shop and removed from the list of Inam shops. The Excise Commissioner's report regarding the recovery of the sum of Rs. 63-9-11 advanced to the Inamdar for the year 1892-93 is awaited.

C. S. BALASUNDARAM IYER,
Offg. Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Excise Commissioner in Mysore, with records.
The Comptroller, Mysore Government.
The Inam Superintendent in Mysore.

Exd.—C. V.

1910-11

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

FINANCIAL
(SEPARATE REVENUE).

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 10th February 1911

No. Fl. 4186-8
S. R. 66-10-2

Holding that the Inamdar is not entitled to the toddy revenue of Sarvamanya Chattanahalli village, Krishnarajpete Taluk, and directing that the toddy shop in the village be treated as a Government one.

GPB—1-3-11—200